arXiv:1408.1045v3 [math.PR] 11 Jan 2016

# LOOP PERCOLATION ON DISCRETE HALF-PLANE

TITUS LUPU

Abstract. We consider the random walk loop soup on the discrete half-plane $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}^*$ and study the percolation problem, i.e. the existence of an infinite cluster of loops. We show that the critical value of the intensity is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$. The absence of percolation at intensity $\frac{1}{2}$ was shown in a previous work. We also show that in the supercritical regime, one can keep only the loops up to some large enough upper bound on the diameter and still have percolation.

## 1. Introduction

We will consider discrete (rooted) loops on $\mathbb{Z}^2$, that is to say finite paths to the nearest neighbours on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ that return to the origin and visit at least two vertices. The rooted random walk loop measure $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ gives to each rooted loop of lengths $2n$ the mass $(2n)^{-1}4^{-2n}$. It was introduced in [5]. In [3] are considered loops parametrised by continuous time rather than discrete time. $\mu_{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ has a continuous analogue, the measure $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}$ on the Brownian loops on $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathbb{P}^t_{z,z'}(\cdot)$ be the standard Brownian bridge probability measure from $z$ to $z'$ of length $t$. $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a measure on continuous time-parametrised loops on $\mathbb{C}$ defined as

$$\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\cdot) := \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{t>0} \mathbb{P}^t_{z,z}(\cdot) \frac{dt}{2\pi t^2} \frac{d\bar{z} \wedge dz}{2i},$$

where $\frac{d\bar{z} \wedge dz}{2i}$ is the standard volume form on $\mathbb{C}$. The measure $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}$ was introduced in [6].

Given $\alpha > 0$ we will denote by $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}_\alpha$ respectively $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{C}}_\alpha$ the Poisson ensemble of intensity $\alpha\mu_{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ respectively $\alpha\mu_{\mathbb{C}}$, called random walk respectively Brownian loop soup. In [5] it was shown that one can approximate $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{C}}_\alpha$ by a rescaled version of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}_\alpha$. If $A$ is a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ we will denote by $\mathcal{L}^A_\alpha$ the subset of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}_\alpha$ made of loops contained in $A$. If $U$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{C}$ we will denote by $\mathcal{L}^U_\alpha$ the subset of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{C}}_\alpha$ made of loops contained in $U$. For $\delta > 0$ we will denote by $\mathcal{L}^{A,\geq\delta}_\alpha$ respectively $\mathcal{L}^{U,\geq\delta}_\alpha$ the subset of random walk loops $\mathcal{L}^A_\alpha$ respectively Brownian loops $\mathcal{L}^U_\alpha$ made of loops of diameter greater or equal to $\delta$. Similarly we will use the notation $\mathcal{L}^{A,\leq\delta}_\alpha$ for the loops of diameter smaller or equal to $\delta$.

We will consider clusters of loops. Two loops $\gamma$ and $\gamma'$ in a Poisson ensemble of discrete or continuous loops belong to the same cluster if there is a chain of loops $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ in this Poisson ensemble such that $\gamma_0 = \gamma$, $\gamma_n = \gamma'$ and $\gamma_i$ and $\gamma_{i-1}$ visit a common point. For all $\alpha > 0$, loops in $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}_\alpha$ as well as in $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{C}}_\alpha$ form a single cluster. Thus we will consider loops on discrete half-plane $\mathsf{H} = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}^*$ and on continuous half-plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \Im(z) > 0\}$, mainly from the angle of existence of an unbounded cluster.

The percolation problem for Brownian loops was studied in [10]. It was shown that there is a critical intensity $\alpha^{\mathbb{H}}_* \in (0, +\infty)$ such that for $\alpha \in (0, \alpha^{\mathbb{H}}_*]$, $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{H}}_\alpha$ has only bounded clusters, and for $\alpha > \alpha^{\mathbb{H}}_*$ the loops in $\mathcal{L}^{\mathbb{H}}_{\alpha^{\mathbb{H}}_*}$ form one single cluster.

*E-mail address*: titus.lupu@eth-its.ethz.ch, titus.lupu@math.u-psud.fr.

The critical intensity was identified to be equal to 1. But actually $\alpha_*^{\mathbb{H}} = \frac{1}{2}$. In [10] the outer boundaries of outermost clusters in a sub-critical Brownian loop soup were identified to be a Conformal Loop Ensemble $CLE_\kappa$ with the following relation between $\alpha$ and $\kappa$.

$$\alpha = \frac{(3\kappa - 8)(6 - \kappa)}{2\kappa}.$$

The critical value of $\kappa$ corresponds to $CLE_4$. Actually the right relation between $\alpha$ and $\kappa$ is

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\frac{(3\kappa - 8)(6 - \kappa)}{2\kappa}.$$

So the value of $\alpha$ that corresponds to $\kappa = 4$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ and not 1. The missing factor $\frac{1}{2}$ appears in the Lawler's work [7] (Proposition 2.1). The error in [10] comes from an error in the article [6] by Lawler and Werner. There the authors consider a Brownian loop soup in the half-plane and a continuous path cutting the half-plane, parametrised by the half-plane capacity. For such a path the half-plane capacity at time $t$ equals $2t$. It discovers progressively new Brownian loops and the authors map these loops conformally to the origin. In the Theorem 1 they identify the processes of these conformally mapped Brownian loops to be a Poisson point process with intensity proportional to the Brownian bubble measure. In the identification of intensity there is a factor 2 missing. Actually in the article [6], the Theorem 1 is inconsistent with the Proposition 11.

The problem of percolation by random walk loops was studied in [4], [2], [9] and [1] in more general setting than dimension 2. We will focus on the percolation by loops in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathsf{H}}$. The probability of existence of an infinite cluster of loops follows a $0-1$ law and there can be at most one infinite cluster ([9]). Moreover for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ loops in $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\mathsf{H}}$ do not percolate ([9]). This result was obtained through a coupling with the massless Gaussian free field. By considering just the loops that go back and forth between two neighbouring vertices we get a lower bound on clusters of loops by clusters of an i.i.d. Bernoulli percolation. In particular this implies that for $\alpha$ large enough loops in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathsf{H}}$ percolate. Hence as the parameter $\alpha$ increases there is a phase transition and a critical value $\alpha_*^{\mathsf{H}} \in [\frac{1}{2}, +\infty)$ of the parameter. Using the results on the clusters of Brownian loops from [10] and the approximation result from [5] we will show in section 2 the following:

**Theorem 1.** *For all $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ there is an infinite cluster of loops in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathsf{H}}$. In particular $\alpha_*^{\mathsf{H}} = \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, given $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ large enough, such that $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathsf{H},\leq n}$ percolates too.*

That is to say the critical intensity parameter for the two-dimensional Brownian loop soups and random walk loop soups is the same.

We will consider 1-dependent edge percolations on $\mathsf{H}$, $(\omega(e))_{e \text{ edge}}$. By 1-dependent percolation we mean that if two disjoint subsets of edges $E_1$ and $E_2$ are at graph distance at least 1 then $(\omega(e))_{e\in E_1}$ and $(\omega(e))_{e\in E_2}$ are independent. According the results on locally dependent percolation by Liggett, Schonmann and Stacey in [8], for all 1-dependent edge percolations on $\mathsf{H}$ with $p$ the probability of an edge to be open, there is an universal $\tilde{p}(p) \in [0, 1)$ such that the 1-dependent edge percolation contains an i.i.d. Bernoulli percolation with probability $\tilde{p}(p)$ of an edge to be open. Moreover the following constraint holds:

$$\lim_{p\to 1^-} \tilde{p}(p) = 1.$$

## 2. Critical intensity parameter

Let $\alpha, \delta > 0$. Given $U$ an open subset of $\mathbb{H}$, we will denote by $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{U,\geq\delta}$ respectively $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{U\cap\mathsf{H},\geq\delta}$ the subset of $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathbb{H}}$ respectively $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathsf{H}}$ made of loops contained in $U$ and with

diameter greater or equal to $\delta$. We will use the notations $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^U$ and $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{U\cap\mathsf{H}}$ when there is a condition on the range but not on the diameter.

Let $Q_{ext}$ and $Q_{int}$ be the following rectangles:

$$Q_{ext} := (0,6)\times(0,3), \quad Q_{int} := (1,5)\times(1,2).$$

We consider the subset of Brownian loops $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta}$, which is a.s. finite. We introduce the events $C_1(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})$, $C_2(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})$ and $C_3(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})$ depending on the loops in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta}$. The event $C_1(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})$ will be satisfied if there is a cluster $K_1$ of loops in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{int},\geq\delta}$ such that in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(0,6)\times(1,2),\geq\delta}$ there is a loop that intersects $K_1$ and $\{1\}\times(1,2)$ and a loop that intersects $K_1$ and $\{5\}\times(1,2)$. The two loops may be the same. $C_2(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})$ will be satisfied if there is a cluster $K_2$ in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(1,2)^2,\geq\delta}$ such that in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(1,2)\times(0,3),\geq\delta}$ there is a loop that intersects $K_2$ and $(1,2)\times\{1\}$ and a loop that intersects $K_2$ and $(1,2)\times\{2\}$. The event $C_3(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})$ is similar to the event $C_2(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})$ where the square $(1,2)^2$ is replaced by the square $(4,5)\times(1,2)$ and the rectangle $(1,2)\times(0,3)$ by the rectangle $(4,5)\times(0,3)$. Next figure illustrates the event $\bigcap_{i=1}^3 C_i(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})$.




Fig.1: Illustration of the event $\bigcap_{i=1}^3 C_i(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})$.
One should imagine that the smooth loops are actually Brownian.
Only a set of loops that is sufficient for the event is represented.
Full line loops stay inside $Q_{int}$. Dashed loops cross the boundary of $Q_{int}$.

We will call the event $\bigcap_{i=1}^3 C_i(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})$ *special crossing event with exterior rectangle $Q_{ext}$ and interior rectangle $Q_{int}$*. We will also consider translations, rotations and rescaling of $Q_{ext}$ and $Q_{int}$ and deal with *special crossing events* corresponding to the new rectangles. We are interested in the event $\bigcap_{i=1}^3 C_i(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})$ because then the loops in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta}$ achieve the three crossings drawn on the figure 2:




Fig.2: The three crossings we are interested in.

Next we show that if $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\delta$ is small enough then the probability of $\bigcap_{i=1}^{3} C_i(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})$ is close to 1.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let $Q$ be a rectangle of form $Q = (-a,a)\times(0,b)$. Let $\alpha > 0$. Let $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the standard Brownian motion on $\mathbb{C}$ started from 0 and let $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^Q$ be a Poisson ensemble of loops independent from $B$. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $t \in (0,\varepsilon)$ such that $B$ at time $t$ intersects a loop in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^Q$.*

*Proof.* First we consider a loops soup in $\mathbb{H}$, $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathbb{H}}$, independent of $B$. Let

$$T := \inf\{t > 0 | B_t \text{ is in the range of a loop in } \mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathbb{H}}\}.$$

$T$ is a.s. finite. Indeed a loop in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathbb{H}}$ delimits a domain with non-empty interior. Since the Brownian motion on $\mathbb{C}$ is recurrent, $B$ will visit this domain and thus intersect the loop. Let $\lambda > 0$. The Poisson ensemble of loops $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathbb{H}}$ is invariant in law under the Brownian scaling

$$(\gamma(t))_{0\leq t\leq t_\gamma} \longmapsto \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma(\lambda t))_{0\leq t\leq \lambda^{-1}t_\gamma}.$$

So does the Brownian motion $B$. Thus $\lambda T$ has the same law as $T$. It follows that $T = 0$ a.s.

The set of loops $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathbb{H}} \setminus \mathcal{L}_\alpha^Q$ is at positive distance from 0 thus $B$ cannot intersect it immediately. It follows that $B$ intersects immediately $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^Q$. □

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let $a, \alpha > 0$. There is a.s. a loop in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(-a,a)^2}$ that intersects the real line $\mathbb{R}$.*

*Proof.* Let $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(n)}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(-a,a)^2}$ made of loops $\gamma$ of duration $t_\gamma$ comprised between $2^{-n-1}$ and $2^{-n}$. The family $(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(n)})_{n\geq 0}$ is independent. By Brownian scaling, the probability that a loop in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(n)}$ intersects $\mathbb{R}$ is the same as a loop in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(-a2^{n/2},a2^{n/2})^2}$ of duration comprised between $\frac{1}{2}$ and 1 intersects $\mathbb{R}$. This is at least as big as the similar probability for $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(0)}$. Since the latter probability is non-zero, the intersection events occurs a.s. for infinitely many of $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(n)}$. □

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let $a, \alpha > 0$. There is a.s. a loop in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(-a,a)^2}$ that intersects the real line $\mathbb{R}$ and a loop in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(-a,a)\times(0,a)}$.*

*Proof.* Consider the subset of $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(-a,a)^2}$ made of loops intersecting $\mathbb{R}$. It is non empty according the lemma 2.2. Moreover it is independent of $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(-a,a)\times(0,a)}$. The law of a Brownian loop that intersects $\mathbb{R}$ is locally, near the point of intersection, absolutely continuous with respect to the law of a Brownian motion started from there. Applying lemma 2.1, we get that it intersects a.s. a loop in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(-a,a)\times(0,a)}$. □

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$. Then*

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0^+} \mathbb{P}\Big(\bigcap_{i=1}^{3} C_i(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})\Big) = 1.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to show that the probability of each of the $C_i(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})$ converges to 1 as $\delta$ tends to 0. Since the three cases are very similar, we will do the proof only for $C_1(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})$. According to lemma 2.3 there is a loop $\gamma$ in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(0,6)\times(1,2)}$ that intersects $\{1\}\times(1,2)$ and a loop $\gamma'$ in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{int}}$. Similarly there is a loop $\tilde{\gamma}$ in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(0,6)\times(1,2)}$ that intersects $\{5\}\times(1,2)$ and a loop $\tilde{\gamma}'$ in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{int}}$. Since $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, $\gamma'$ and $\tilde{\gamma}'$ belong to the same cluster in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{int}}$ ([10]). Thus there is a chain of loops $(\gamma_0,\ldots,\gamma_n)$ in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{int}}$, with $\gamma_0 = \gamma'$ and $\gamma_n = \tilde{\gamma}'$, joining $\gamma'$ and $\tilde{\gamma}'$. If $\delta$ is the minimum of diameters of $(\gamma_0,\ldots,\gamma_n)$ and $\gamma$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ then $C_1(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})$ is satisfied.

Let $\bar{\delta}$ be maximal value of $\delta$ such that $C_1(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})$ is satisfied. $\bar{\delta}$ is a well defined random variable with values in $(0,+\infty)$. Then

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0^+}\mathbb{P}(C_1(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta}))=\lim_{\delta\to 0^+}\mathbb{P}(\delta\leq\bar{\delta})=1.$$

□

Next we recall the result on approximation of Brownian loops by random walk loops from [5]. Let $N\in\mathbb{N}^*$. We consider the discrete loops $\gamma$ on $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{N}^*$. We define on these loops a map $\Phi_N$ to continuous loops on $\mathbb{H}$. Given $\gamma$ a discrete loop and $(z_0,\dots,z_{n-1},z_0)$ the sequence of the vertices it visits, the continuous loop $\Phi_N\gamma$ satisfies:

- the duration of $\Phi_N\gamma$ is $\frac{n}{2N^2}$;
- for $j\in\{0,\dots,n-1\}$, $\Phi_N\gamma(\frac{j}{2N^2})=\frac{z_j}{N}$;
- $\Phi_N\gamma(\frac{n}{2N^2})=\Phi_N\gamma(0)=\frac{z_0}{N}$;
- between the times $\frac{j}{2N^2}$, $j\in\{0,\dots,n\}$, $\Phi_N\gamma$ interpolates linearly.

The number of jumps $n$ of a discrete loop $\gamma$ will be denoted $s_\gamma$. The life-time of a continuous loop $\tilde{\gamma}$ will be denoted by $t_{\tilde{\gamma}}$. Let $\theta\in(\frac{2}{3},2)$ and $r\geq 1$. There is a coupling between $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathsf{H}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathbb{H}}$ such that except on an event of probability at most $cste\cdot(\alpha+1)r^2N^{2-3\theta}$ there is a one to one correspondence between the two sets

- $\{\gamma\in\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathsf{H}}|s_\gamma>2N^\theta,|\gamma(0)|<Nr\}$,
- $\{\tilde{\gamma}\in\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathbb{H}}|t_{\tilde{\gamma}}>N^{\theta-2},|\tilde{\gamma}(0)|<r\}$,

such that given a discrete loop $\gamma$ and the continuous loop $\tilde{\gamma}$ corresponding to it,

$$\Big|\frac{s_\gamma}{2N^2}-t_{\tilde{\gamma}}\Big|\leq\frac{5}{8}N^{-2},\qquad\sup_{0\leq u\leq 1}\Big|\Phi_N\gamma\Big(u\frac{s_\gamma}{2N^2}\Big)-\tilde{\gamma}(ut_{\tilde{\gamma}})\Big|\leq cste\cdot N^{-1}\log(N).$$

Next we state without proof a lemma that follows immediately from this approximation.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let $\alpha>0$ and $\delta>0$. As $N$ tends to $+\infty$ the random set of interpolating continuous loops*

$$\big\{\Phi_N\gamma|\gamma\in\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{NQ_{ext}\cap\mathsf{H},\geq N\delta}\big\}$$

*converges in law to the set of Brownian loops $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta}$.*

We need to show that the above convergence for the uniform norm also implies a convergence of the intersection relations, that is to say that

$$\{(\gamma,\gamma')|\gamma,\gamma'\in\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{NQ_{ext}\cap\mathsf{H},\geq N\delta},\gamma\text{ intersects }\gamma'\}$$

converges in law to

$$\{(\tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\gamma}')|\tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\gamma}'\in\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta},\tilde{\gamma}\text{ intersects }\tilde{\gamma}'\}.$$

Let $j\in\mathbb{N}$. Let $\gamma$ be a continuous path on $\mathbb{C}$ (not necessarily a loop) of lifetime $t_\gamma$. For $r>0$ let

$$T_r(\gamma):=\inf\{s>0||\gamma(s)|\geq r\}\in(0,+\infty].$$

If $T_r(\gamma)<+\infty$ let

$$e^{i\omega_r}:=\frac{\gamma(T_r(\gamma))}{r}.$$

Let $I_j$ be the real interval

$$I_j:=\Big(\frac{7}{12}2^{-j},\frac{9}{12}2^{-j}\Big).$$

For $0<r_1<r_2$ let $\mathcal{A}(r_1,r_2)$ be the annulus

$$\mathcal{A}(r_1,r_2):=\{z\in\mathbb{C}|r_1<|z|<r_2\}.$$

For $r > 0$ let $HD(r)$ be the half-disc

$$HD(r) := B(0,r) \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \Re(z) > 0\}.$$

We will say that the path $\gamma$ satisfies the condition $\mathcal{C}_j$ if

- $T_{\frac{11}{12}2^{-j}}(\gamma) < +\infty$,
- after time $T_{\frac{11}{12}2^{-j}}(\gamma) < +\infty$, $\gamma$ hits $e^{i(\omega_{2^{-j-1}}+\frac{\pi}{2})}I_j$ at a time $\tilde{t}_j$ before hitting the circle $S(0,2^{-j})$,
- on the time interval $(T_{2^{-j-1}}(\gamma), \tilde{t}_j)$ $\gamma$ stays in the half-disc $e^{i\omega_{2^{-j-1}}}HD(2^{-j})$,
- from time $\tilde{t}_j$ the path $\gamma$ stays in the annulus $\mathcal{A}(\frac{7}{12}2^{-j}, \frac{9}{12}2^{-j})$ until surrounding the disc $B(0, \frac{7}{12}2^{-j})$ once clockwise and hitting $e^{i(\omega_{2^{-j-1}}+\pi)}I_j$.

Figure 3 illustrates a path satisfying the condition $\mathcal{C}_j$. If this condition is satisfied than $\gamma$ disconnects the disc $B(0, \frac{7}{12}2^{-j})$ from infinity. Moreover if one perturbs $\gamma$ by any continuous function $f : [0, t_\gamma] \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\|f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{12}2^{-j}$ then the path $(\gamma(s) + f(s))_{0 \leq s \leq t_\gamma}$ disconnects the disc $B(0, 2^{-j-1})$ from infinity. The disconnection is made inside the annulus $\mathcal{A}(2^{-j-1}, 2^{-j})$.




Fig.3: Representation of a path $\gamma$ satisfying the condition $\mathcal{C}_j$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let $(B_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ be a standard Brownian path on $\mathbb{C}$ starting from 0. Then almost surely it satisfies the condition $\mathcal{C}_j$ for infinitely many values of $j \in \mathbb{N}$.*

*Proof.* Let $\widetilde{B}$ be the Brownian path $B$ continued for $t \in (0, +\infty)$. The events "$\widetilde{B}$ satisfies the condition $\mathcal{C}_j$" are i.i.d. Indeed such an event is rotation invariant and depends only on $\widetilde{B}$ on the time interval $(T_{2^{-j-1}}(\widetilde{B}), T_{2^{-j}}(\widetilde{B}))$. Moreover the probability of such an event is non-zero. Thus $\widetilde{B}$ satisfies the condition $\mathcal{C}_j$ for infinitely many values of $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$\lim_{j \to +\infty} T_{2^{-j}}(\widetilde{B}) = 0,$$

so does $B$. □

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $t_1, t_2 > 0$. Let $(b_s^{(1)})_{0 \leq s \leq t_1}$ and $(b_s^{(2)})_{0 \leq s \leq t_2}$ be two independent standard Brownian bridges from $z_1$ to $z_1$ and $z_2$ to $z_2$ respectively. On the event that $b^{(1)}$ intersects $b^{(2)}$ there is a.s. $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all continuous functions $f_1 : [0, t_1] \to \mathbb{C}$ and $f_2 : [0, t_2] \to \mathbb{C}$ of infinity norm $\|f_i\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$, $(b_s^{(1)} + f_1(s))_{0 \leq s \leq t_1}$ intersects $(b_s^{(2)} + f_2(s))_{0 \leq s \leq t_2}$.*

*Proof.* Let $T_2^{(1)}$ be the first time $b^{(1)}$ hits the range of $b^{(2)}$. If the two path do not intersect each other $T_2^{(1)} = +\infty$. On the event $T_2^{(1)} < +\infty$ the conditional

law of $(b^{(1)}_{T_2^{(1)}+s} - b^{(1)}_{T_2^{(1)}})_{0\leq s\leq t_1-T_2^{(1)}-\varepsilon}$ ($\varepsilon > 0$ a small constant) given the value $T_2^{(1)}$ is absolutely continuous with respect the law of a Brownian path starting from 0. From lemma 2.6 follows that the path $(b^{(1)}_{T_2^{(1)}+s} - b^{(1)}_{T_2^{(1)}})_{0\leq s\leq t_1-T_2^{(1)}}$ satisfies the condition $\mathcal{C}_j$ for infinitely many values of $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$\tilde{j} := \max\Big\{ j \in \mathbb{N} | (b^{(1)}_{T_2^{(1)}+s} - b^{(1)}_{T_2^{(1)}})_{0\leq s\leq t_1-T_2^{(1)}} \text{ satisfies the condition } \mathcal{C}_j \\ \text{and } \exists s \in [0,t_2], |b^{(2)}_s - b^{(2)}_{T_2^{(1)}}| \geq \frac{13}{12}2^{-j} \Big\}.$$

$\tilde{j}$ is a r.v. defined on the event where $b^{(1)}$ and $b^{(2)}$ intersect. If $f_1$ and $f_2$ are such that $\|f_i\| \leq \frac{1}{12}2^{-\tilde{j}}$ then the path $b^{(1)} + f_1$ disconnects the disc $B(b^{(1)}_{T_2^{(1)}}, 2^{-\tilde{j}-1})$ from infinity inside the annulus $b^{(1)}_{T_2^{(1)}} + \mathcal{A}(2^{-\tilde{j}-1}, 2^{-\tilde{j}})$ and the path $b^{(2)} + f_2$ crosses from the circle $S(b^{(1)}_{T_2^{(1)}}, 2^{-\tilde{j}-1})$ to the circle $S(b^{(1)}_{T_2^{(1)}}, 2^{-\tilde{j}})$, so the two must intersect. □

Observe that two discrete loops $\gamma$ and $\gamma'$ intersect each other if and only if the continuous loops $\Phi_N\gamma$ and $\Phi_N\gamma'$ do. From lemmas 2.5 and 2.7 follows:

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. As $N$ tends to $+\infty$ the random set of interpolating continuous loops*

$$\left\{\Phi_N\gamma | \gamma \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha^{NQ_{ext}\cap\mathsf{H},\geq N\delta}\right\}$$

*jointly with the intersection relations*

$$\{(\gamma,\gamma') | \gamma,\gamma' \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha^{NQ_{ext}\cap\mathsf{H},\geq N\delta}, \gamma \text{ intersects } \gamma'\}$$

*converges in law to the set of Brownian loops $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta}$ jointly with the intersection relations*

$$\{(\tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\gamma}') | \tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\gamma}' \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta}, \tilde{\gamma} \text{ intersects } \tilde{\gamma}'\}.$$

We consider the scaled up rectangle $NQ_{ext}$ and $NQ_{int}$. The next lemma deals with the probability that the discrete loops $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{NQ_{ext}\cap\mathsf{H}}$ realise the *special crossing event with exterior rectangle $NQ_{ext}$ and interior rectangle $NQ_{int}$*. See figures 1 and 2 and consider that $Q_{ext}$ is replaced by $NQ_{ext}$, $Q_{int}$ by $NQ_{int}$ and $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta}$ by $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{NQ_{ext}\cap\mathsf{H}}$.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$. As $N$ tends to $+\infty$, the probability that the loops $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{NQ_{ext}\cap\mathsf{H}}$ realise a special crossing event with exterior rectangle $NQ_{ext}$ and interior rectangle $NQ_{int}$ converges to* 1.

*Proof.* Let $\delta > 0$. The probability that the loops $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{NQ_{ext}\cap\mathsf{H}}$ realise the *special crossing event with exterior rectangle $NQ_{ext}$ and interior rectangle $NQ_{int}$* is at least as large as the probability that the loops $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{NQ_{ext}\cap\mathsf{H},\geq N\delta}$ realise the *special crossing event* with the same interior and exterior rectangle. From the corollary 2.8 follows that the latter probability converges as $N \to +\infty$ to

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{3} C_i(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{Q_{ext},\geq\delta})\right).$$

We conclude by applying the lemma 2.4. □

To conclude that for $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathsf{H}}$ has an infinite cluster we will use a block percolation construction that will combine *special crossing events*.

*Proof of the Theorem 1.* From [9] we know already that $\alpha_*^{\mathsf{H}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. We need to show that for $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathsf{H}}$ has an infinite cluster.

Let $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ and $N \geq 1$. We consider a dependent edge percolation, denoted $(\omega^N(e))_{e \text{ edge of } \mathsf{H}}$, on the discrete half plane $\mathsf{H}$. If $e$ is an edge of form $\{(j,k),(j+1,k)\}$, $k \geq 1$, then $\omega^N(e) = 1$ (open edge) if $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(NQ_{int}+3Nj+i3Nk)\cap\mathsf{H}}$ achieves a *special crossing event with exterior rectangle* $NQ_{ext} + 3Nj + i3Nk$ *and interior rectangle* $NQ_{int}+3Nj+i3Nk$. If $e$ is an edge of form $\{(j,k),(j,k+1)\}$, $k \geq 1$, then $\omega^N(e) = 1$ if $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{(iNQ_{int}+3Nj+i3Nk)\cap\mathsf{H}}$ achieves a *special crossing event with exterior rectangle* $iNQ_{ext} + 3Nj + i3Nk$ *and interior rectangle* $iNQ_{int} + 3Nj + i3Nk$, where the multiplication by $i$ means rotation by $+\frac{\pi}{2}$. $\omega^N$ is a 1-dependent edge percolation: if two disjoint subsets of edges $E_1$ and $E_2$ are such that no edge is adjacent to both $E_1$ and $E_2$, then $(\omega^N(e))_{e\in E_1}$ and $(\omega^N(e))_{e\in E_2}$ are independent. This is due to the fact that the subsets of loops involved in the definition of *special crossing events* for edges in $E_1$ and and edges in $E_2$ are disjoint. To an open path in $\omega^N$ corresponds a cluster of $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathsf{H}}$ whose loops form crossings of related interior rectangles. Thus if $\omega^N$ has an unbounded cluster, then so does $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathsf{H}}$. See next picture.



Fig.4: Crossings achieved by subsets of loops in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathsf{H}}$, corresponding to five open edges in $\omega^N$.

The probability $\mathbb{P}(\omega^N(e) = 1)$ is uniform and we will denote it $p_N$. According to the lemma 2.9

$$\lim_{N\to+\infty} p_N = 1.$$

Thus for $N$ large enough $\tilde{p}(p_N) > \frac{1}{2}$. $\frac{1}{2}$ is the critical probability for the i.i.d. Bernoulli edge percolation on $\mathsf{H}$. So for $N$ large enough $\omega^N$ contains a supercritical i.i.d. Bernoulli edge percolation and percolates itself. Thus $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathsf{H}}$ percolates too. Actually, since our construction only uses loops of diameter less or equal to $6N$, we have also percolation for $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\mathsf{H},\leq 6N}$. □

## Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Artem Sapozhnikov and Yinshan Chang for their comments on previous versions of this paper.

## References


[1] Y. Chang. Supercritical loop percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ for $d \geq 3$. arXiv:1504.07906, Apr. 2015.

[2] Y. Chang and A. Sapozhnikov. Phase transition in loop percolation. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, pages 1–47, Apr. 2015.

[3] Y. Le Jan. Markov paths, loops and fields. In *2008 St-Flour summer school, L.N. Math.*, volume 2026. Springer, 2011.

[4] Y. Le Jan and S. Lemaire. Markovian loop clusters on graphs. *Illinois J. Math.*, 57(2):525–558, 2013.

[5] G. F. Lawler and J. A. Trujillo Ferreras. Random walk loop soup. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(2):767–787, 2007.

[6] G. F. Lawler and W. Werner. The brownian loop-soup. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 128:565–588, 2004.

[7] G.F. Lawler. Partition functions, loop measure, and versions of SLE. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 134:813–837, 2009.

[8] T. M. Liggett, R. H. Schonmann, and A. M. Stacey. Domination by product measures. *Ann. Probab.*, 25(1):71–95, 1997.

[9] T. Lupu. From loop clusters and random interlacements to the free field. To appear in *Ann. Probab.* arXiv:1402.0298, 2014.

[10] S. Sheffield and W. Werner. Conformal loop ensembles: the markovian characterization and the loop-soup construction. *Ann. of Math.*, 176(3):1827–1917, 2012.